G.R.S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1925.

( )

File No. 522-15

Serial Nos. 1-8

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-8

Part B for August 22.

SUBJECT

Stanik and Maniya appointments in the Sri Lakshmi Narasimha Swami temple at Seringapatam

Sl. 8) M. V. Anandalwar, Seringapatam
No. 925 dt 22-5-22

Receipt thereof is reported to Government

Returned

Printed on the Multigraph.

1915.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mirzai

NOTES.

File No. 5225

FILE HEADING.

Stanik Manigya appointm^t as in the Sri Lakshmi Narasimha Swami temple at Seringapatam.

From

M. V Anandalwar.

~~No.~~ Petition Dated 20-3-16 Received

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.
1567	1	-	1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Appeals against the Dy. Commrs. order declining to grant the petrs. prayer for the registry of the office in the name of his S. Srinivasiengar.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The appeal petition is duly stamped. A copy of the order appealed against is produced = the appeal is within time. A draft calling for report with record

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—20,000—8 8.11

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mug File No. 522 of 1915

Serial No	
	to herewith submitted for appl and N (93) 24 3 16 24/3/16
	Ag 31/3
2	No. 2428 dy 7.4.16, to Dc, Mysore Fds the above for a detailed report, further with the entire records connected with the case. No 2429 dr 7.4.16 - informs the above to the latter
3.	From, the Dy Commr, Mysore Dr. Letter no 568 dy 12.5.1916 Submits report with records called for in serial 2.
4.3.16 (4)	A kannada memo put up in the Dy Commrs office, and the Dy Commrs order dy 20th Decr 1915 thereon, explain the facts of the case.
4.9.16	The applt states that the appointments claimed by him - Stanik and manigar posts of Sri Lakshmi Narasimha Swami Temple at Seringapatam - are hereditary in his family and prays that they may be con-

NOTE- Contn sheet

GPB—20,000—30 6 15

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mem 2 File No. 522 of 1915

Serial No.

Conferred upon him.

If directed, the case will be posted for hearing

M (illegible)
2, 11-1916

This has been considered together with the revision of establishments in the temples. Place this record also with that file

Lee
10.2.17

to be discussed with the file

Petition (D. 20. 20) from M. V. Anandalwar praying for early orders in the case.

The scale of expr called for from the Dy. Commr has not been received & D.O. reminders are being issued.

May the receipt of the Dy. Commrs. reply be awaited?

Submitted
M
17-7-18

Seen
18/7/18

(Vide ind'x file)

Seen) Yes. Wait.
Lee
18/7/18

Now that the scale of income tax has been prescribed,

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—20,000—30 6 15

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mines File No. 522 of 1915.

Serial No.

Consultation with the Dy Chf & dealt with in Mines file No. 29 of 1918, & a copy of the order issued in that case will be found in this file. Action as taken. Thus the case will be [illegible]

[illegible]

a V
Please take a copy of the order issued in Mines F 29 of 18 and deal with this file that file was sent to you for opinion & orders for opening the Temple Pattys mine by the Scale of Expenditure in the temple in question
Dby
27.8.18

b. A printed copy of G.O. No 1070-72 Mines 29-18 Dy 30-9-1918 sanctioning a revised Scale of Expenditure in the Temple in question is submitted

May the case be posted for hearing?
AV 28/11/18
DB 20/12/18

Submitted with Office notices to the applt

Approved
DB
11.3.19

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30 6 15

G. R. S. III-2j

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 522 / [illegible] of 1915. [illegible]

Serial No.

The appellant has no right to ask that the [illegible] hearing be done by the [illegible] official enquiry. The case may be different with a respondent or a witness who may be served.

A report may be called for from the D.C. & a clear note taken up to whether or not the [illegible] claimed have been admitted

[illegible]
12/4/19

[illegible]
16.4.19

[illegible]
[illegible]
21.4.19

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Muz. appeal no 4 of 1918 Cy
& File no. 522 15.

With regard to query no. 1
raised by the First members of
Council on page 5 of the
office note, the appeal
Section of the Rev. Secty
may be asked to kindly
note in the procedure in vogue
there in the matter of
notice to the applts.

On receipt of a reply
from that Secty, a DLR
enclosing a copy thereof as
query no. 2 will be submitted

14/4/19

maybe sent to
the Revs Secty
to kindly indicate the
procedure in practice.

RG
19/4/19

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

The Rev Scott may be consulted —

The report may be called for from the Dyfed independently of this consultation.

DD
19.4.19

av
Please put up a draft to the Dyfed Educ. Auth. asking, the Rev. Scott's enquiries completed.
BB
19.4.19

DFSH for info.

MJ
21.4.19

BB
21.4.19

Approved

DD
22/4/19.

Should [illegible] written on small [illegible] must be copied on slips pasted on this form

G. R. S. 111-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mur File No. 522 of 1915.

Serial No.	
4	To The Dy Comr Ryan Dt No 3357 of 22-4-19. Enquire whether or not the Prices claimed have been abolished in the revised Scale of this Dist
5	Forwarded with compliments to the Revenue Secretariat for opinion with reference to the order of the Hon'ble Member Council Comt in para 17, page 5, Appx A. To Lee? 4 Gst 22-4-19. Mur : Dept

13857

I

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20 8 18—

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Subd

With reference to the
enquiry made in para 17
of my file No 5222/1915,
~~this~~ it has not been
the ~~to~~ practice in the case
of Revenue appeals to
send Summonses for
service through a Govt
Servants Dpt superior.
Summonses are sent
from here to the Build a
of the Ty where the party
resides and he will
have the Summonses
served on the party, Dupl
being returned showing
the mode of service of
the Summons.

25/4 To Asstt Secy

29/4/19

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

The same procedure
being followed with
the Ag Commrs. repo
called for in 8.4 may b
executed
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

. R. S. III-2].

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 2 of 191 .

Serial No.

5

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Encld 32 to G.O. No 1070-72/Mar: 29 18

M 14/5/19 by 30.9.18, revising the Scale of Incame & Expenditure in the temple, the Manyam post has been abolished, and the Stanik post has been combined with Rajadirvada. The remark made in the order against the latter post may be kindly perused.

23 Subd with reference to the first members order contd in paras 17 & 18 on page 5 ante

Sd 16/5/19

Sd 16/5/19.

Secy) A clear note of the facts of the case is not put up. What is the claim on and is it based, how was the office treated in the Rev Dept in 1877 and do the applts. rely on the G.O. of any time

Sd. 27/5/19

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20-8-18—S.

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Inam File No. 572 of 1915.

Serial No.

~~It~~ One Sreerangaraj uncle of Veerambadi Sreenivasaiengar was enjoying the post ~~and~~ of Stanik and Manigar in the Sree Lakshminarasimha Swamy temple at Semigapatna. On his death the Baraward was transferred to the name of Sreenivasaiengar in the year 1869. Later on the Baraward was transferred to ~~the~~ One Kuppamma, aunt of ~~the~~ Veerambadi Sreenivasaiengar. This Kuppamma died in June 1873 and the Baraward was transferred to her Son-in-law Thimmaiengar who actually discharged the duties of ~~the~~ post. On his death in 1880 the Baraward was transferred to his wife Sreerangamma. This Sreerangamma died in 1910. ~~One~~ Anandalwar ~~one~~ brother of deceased Sreerangamma prays that the Baraward of ~~the~~ post may be made in the name of his Son Sreenivasaiengar as he has got a reversionary right in ~~the~~ hereditary ~~of~~ above ~~post~~.

The Deputy Commissioner after perusing the records has noted as follows. The office

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20-3-18—S.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Jmisc. File No. 522 of 1915.

Serial No.

of the Stanik Maniyn no doubt belonged to the family of the petitioner the late Veerambudi Sreenivasangar; but it seems to have passed to outsiders in the female line of his paternal uncle's family - the deceased Barawardan Sreeranganna being evidently his uncle's daughter

The office also does not appear to be a necessary one; for at the revision in 1897 it has been ordered that the Barawardan's Gymnasta should do the duties of Parichareke of the Ammanavaru temple - the Barawarda of the latter office reciting Stotras on the occasion of bringing Ammanjanam to the Sree Ranganathaswami temple. Why this arrangement was made is not clear. If really the reciting of Stotras on the occasion of bringing Ammanjanam were absolutely necessary it would not have been difficult to find men in the Ranganathaswami temple establishment itself. There could have been no need to get one from another temple It is just possible that the Gymnasta -

NOTE —Contn. sheet

Serial No. 6

was fit for nothing but the Paricharike of the Ammanavaru Sannidhi and to the arrangement was ordered. It has also been ordered that no vacancies except in the case of indispensable office should be filled up.

Veerambudi Sreenivasiengar is no more. His son is or was employed as a schoolmaster and so far as I can see there is not much chance of his attending to the temple duties. The son's son is a minor.

Further the revision of the scale of ~~establishment~~ expenditure in this temple has became necessary. This has been called for in letter No. 1270 dt. 214-14-2 dt. 30/12/14 from Govt. and has been submitted to this office in the Sub-division office's yadi No. 2938 dt. 23-5-1915. Therein the Amildar has proposed the abolition of this office. I approve of this in the circumstances of this case. The office cannot be registered in Veerambudi Sreenivasiengar grandson's name. The pay of the offices should be saved. The Paricharike of the Ammanavaru Sannidhi should

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

be done by the Barawardars of that office If Stotra parayana on the occasion of bringing Srimanjana is necessary people should be found in the Ranganatha Swami temple establishment"

The scale of expenditure has been revised in G.O. No 1070-72 dated 30th September 1918, wherein the post of Maniya has been omitted and the pay brought under Savings Submitted for orders with a D.L.

Mem 29-18-2

6/8/21 Rk 12/8/21

In dealing with this Question the delay is very reprehensible

R J 13/8/21

6 No 919 of 19-8-21

To. The D.C. Mysore

Requests him to obtain from the petitioners the original documents relied upon by him in support of his Claim

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mis File No. 522 of 1915.

Serial No.	
4.	From, the Dy. Com^r, Mysore Dist^t, Letter No. A.c.c. 18 dt 18.4.1922 Submits an authentic copy of order passed on 1 Nov^r 1869 in the Mysore Rev. Court, as well as the Banavad of 1880.

The scale refd to relates to the Ranganatha Swami temple at Seringapatam, but the appl^n wants Stanika and manigar posts of Sri Lakshmi-narasimha Swami temple at the place.

In the meantime

for [illegible]

Q. [illegible]

dy 3.5.1

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 524 of 19

Serial No.

of maniya (perhaps boots stand and maniya post) has been abolished. In his endorsement of 9/5 to the appl, the Dy. Comr has stated that it is [illegible] abolished [illegible]

[illegible]

As the post has been abolished in the scale fixed on 30 Sep 1918, nothing can be done for appellant. He may be informed accordingly. First Member 12/8/22

1413-353
14 2 2

approved
Secy
15.8.22

[illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Revenue File No. S 22 of 19 15

Serial No.	
8.	Mr. V. Ananda Iyer Erinjapat No. 9258 22-8-22 Rejects the charges [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM

No 2428 Enc 2 27/4/916

Encl one

Mrs 522-15-2

The accompanying Petition Registered

No 1567 dated 20 3 16

received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from Sri V Anandalwar of Srirangapatana appealing against the Dy Commrs order declining to grant the petrs prayer for the registry of Stanik Manigar and ~~office~~ posts in the Sri Lakshmi Narasimha Swami Temple at the place, in the name of his son Srinivasiengar

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosure~~ with complimentary one

to the Dy Commr, Mysore Distt, for favour of a detailed report together with the entire record connected with the case

By Order,

31/3

Asst Secretary

24/3/16

BANGALORE,

_____ 191 .

P T O

W D 882 -GPB—1 000—10 11 15

ನಮ್ಮ ನಂ 2429 ದಿ 7. 4. 91

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ [illegible]

[illegible] ಗೆ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ ಮಾಡಿದೆ.

[illegible]

[illegible] ನೀವು ಗ ಪಾವತಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು 20. 3 [illegible]16

ಆ ದಿನಾಂಕದ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು [illegible] ಬಗ್ಗೆ

[illegible]

ರವಾನಿಸಿದೆ.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

By Order,

[illegible]

Secretary -

GPB—50,000—17-9-15

19 VII 15/5 W

From The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

DIARY No. 1916

15-16

SECTION Mul

FILE No. 522-15

SERIAL No.

To The Revenue Secretary
Inspection Branch
Bangalore.

Dated

Despatched 12th May 1916

Received

General No. 962
No.

Enclosure No.

Submits report with records on the appeal preferred by M.V. Anandalwar praying for the restoration of Stanika & Mania pooja in the Sri Lakshmi Narasimhaswami temple at Seringapatam in the name of his son Srinivasiengar.

En: 1 file.

Office of Deputy Commissioner
Mysore district,
Dated Mysore 12 May 1916.

From

A. Subramanya Iyer, Esq, B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore district.

To

V. R. Thyagaraja Iyer, Esq, M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Revenue Department
Muzrai Branch
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your official memorandum no: 2628 Muzrai 522-15-2 dated 7th April 1916 forwarding for report with records petition from M. V. Anandalwar of Seringapatam appealing against the order of this office dated 6th December 1915 declining to register the offices of Pandit and Mariya of Sri Lakshminarasimhaswamie temple at in the name of appellant

Sir Duncan, I have the
honor to submit herewith the entire
file of correspondence received with
report no: 156/530 dated 22/23rd April
1916 from the Amildar of Seringapatam
and to state that the facts of the case are
set forth in this office order dated 16th
December 1915 vide Sheriet para no: 23/5.
The petition received with the above is herewith resubmitted.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore

KG

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT... In the case of Sannidhi & Manniya posts claimed by Sri V. Anandalwar of Srirangapatna for his Sri...

Diary No. of letter replied to... 1716...

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,
( )
, the 191 .

Date of issue... 22.6.1916...

Signed by... Mr McLachlan Asst

Section Revd.
File No. 533.2-15
Serial No. 4.

No. 3351

To

The Dy. Commissioner,
Mysore Dist.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. 568 Dt 12th May 1916 reporting on the appeal petition of Sri V. Anandalwar of Srirangapatna praying for the registry of the Sannidhi and manniya posts of Sri Lakshmi Narasimha Swami Temple at Srirangapatna in the name of

over.

his Son Srinivasiengar,
I am directed to request to
be informed whether or not
the offices claimed have been
abolished in the revised Scale of the 1st.
(2) The Records are herewith
returned for ref. & re-
turn at a very early date.

I have etc.

22/4/19

D(17) for Secretary,
Mus Dept II

22.4.19

List of enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

WD 86—GPB—60,000—15 11 16

Paper No. 2013
File No. 522-5
5—

WD. 0163—GPB—8,00,000—21-7-19—B.

S91 1

1919

From The Deputy Commissioner Mysore District

To The Joint Secretary to the Government of Mysore Revenue Department Bangalore

Dated 5th May 19

Despatched 6th May 19.

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

Submits a report re the Sthanik and Mamul posts of Sri Lakshmi Narasimha Swamy temple at Seringapatam.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

My sore District.

Dated, Mysore, 29th April 1919.

From,

T. Chokkanna, Esquire., B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To,

G. Aravamudu Iyengar, Esquire., B.A., B.L.,

Joint Secretary to Government, Muzrai Department,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.3351/Muz.523-15-4 dated 23nd April 1919, calling for certain information re the Sthanic and Mania posts of Sri Lakshmi Narasimha Swami Temple at Seringapatam, I have the honor to invite kind reference to G.O. No.1070-731 M.F. 29-18-2 dated 30th September 1919, and to state that the Mania post in the temple has been abolished, while the Sthanic post is combined with another post viz., Rajesirvada.

The records said to have been returned with the letter under advertance have not been received in this office.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

[signature]

for Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section

File No. S 22 15

Serial No

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

No. 919-1605

, the *191*

To The Dy. Comm^r

Mysore Dist^t

Date of issue 19.8.21

Signed by M.T.Ry. Iyengar

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter no. 618 D 6th May 19 reporting on the appeal petition of M. V. Anandalwar of Seringapatam praying for the registry of the Stanik and maniya posts of Sri Lakshmanaswamy Swami Temple at the place

over.

in the name of his minor son
Srinivasiengar, I am directed
to request that you will be so
good as to obtain from the
said individual, the original
documents, ~~evidencing~~ relied upon by him in support of his
hereditary claim to the said
appointments, and forward
them for ref. to this office
at a very early date, together
with the original temple
karnams ref to in the
temple Peshkar's report no.
98 Dy 18th Octr 1910

(2) the deed mentioned
herewith returned for ref
& return

I have etc
V. T. 13/8
DVT
Asst Secy
13/8/11

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

359
22-23
Mu 2

M-9-522-15-7.

19 21.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Bangalore.
Muzarai Department.

Dated 17th July 1922

Despatched 18th July 22

Received

General No. No. RAC 618/18 19 Mucy.
No.

Enclosure No (File & Volume

Subject —

Submits an authenticated copy of order passed on 1st November 1869 in the Revenue Court of Mysore with entire records regarding the claim of M V Anandalwar for the post of Stanik and Maniya of Sri: Lakshminarasimharwamy temple at Seringapatnam -

No. A c. 618/18 19. muzz.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated, Mysore,..... .....15th..July.....1922
17 th.

From

P.F.Bowring, Esquire,

Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To

T.E.Jayarama Iyer, Esq. B.A.,B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.
Muzrai Department.

SIR,

In reply to the correspondence ending with your letter No.919/Muz.522-15-6 dated 19th August 1921, I have the honor to forward herewith an authenticated copy of order passed on 1st November 1869 in the Revenue Court of Mysore, which is said to be document relied upon by the petitioner in proof of his hereditary claim to the posts of Stanik and Maniya in the Sri Lakshmi Narasimhaswamy temple at Srirangapatam.

2. As for the original temple baravards referred to in the Peshkar's report No.98 dated 18th October 1910, it is reported that only one Baravard of December 1880 was available in the taluk and it is submitted herewith. The Amildar reports that a careful search was caused to be made both in temple and taluk office records and the other baravard registers could not be traced.

3. The connected file of vernacular papers received with French Rocks Sub Division Officer's yadast No.H.C.279/21-22 dated 10th June 1922 is submitted herewith.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Illegible
for Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT Rejects Sri. V. Annadorisami's appeal against the Order of State Government in G.O. Ms. No. 1811 P.W. dt. 29-10-

Diary No. of letter replied to 357

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* *191* .

Date of issue

Signed by

Section
File No.
Serial No.

No. 925

To

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

Muzrai Case No.4 of 1918-19.

S U B J E C T:- Registry of hak to Stanik and Maniya posts in the Sri Lakshminarasimha swami temple at Seringapatam.

F A C T S:- In 1910, on the death of the Baravarddar --- Srirangamma, appellant's father applied for registry in the name of appellant's son on the ground that the baraward belonged to his family for 2 or 3 generations past. During enquiry, it was found that in 1897, at the -- time of the revision of the scale, it had -- been ordered that the Gumastha for the posts should do the duties of the Paricharak of the Ammanavaru temple. The Deputy Commissioner ordered in 1915 that it was not possible to make the change in as much as the hak had passed away from the appellant's family and moreover it was under contemplation to drop the appointments in the scale which was to be revised presently. Appellant appealed in -- 1916, against this order. He claims as re-versioner for the late Barawarddar. He - -- states that the posts he claims have not been even now abolished and so his son's name may be registered. (The scale has since been re-vised in Government Order No.1070-2/Muz.F.29-18-2 dated 30th September 1918). It seems from the muz Supdts' office papers that the posts have been intended to be abolished.

POINTS FOR DIS-POSAL. I. Have the posts in question been retained in the recent scale? (The Deputy Commissioner's opinion seems to be necessary).

II. Are the claims of the appellant valid?

HRR-2.